

**PUBLIC HEARING
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO LAND USE PLAN
SEPTEMBER 24, 2008**

**TO ALLOW CROSSING OF AGRICULTURAL LAND
WITH LEAST IMPACT AND IF KEPT CLOSE TO FENCE
LINES, ALONG THE EDGE OF A FIELD OR ALONG TREE LINES**

**ALSO PROPOSAL TO ALLOW A MAXIMUM OF SEVEN (7) NEW NON-FARM
HOUSES PER YEAR**

ATTENDEES VOTES AS FOLLOWS

110 Attendees

87 Yes

12 No

6 Yes votes that did not state if landowner or non landowner-did not count

1 No vote that did not state if landowner or non landowner-did not count

1 Blank vote

3 Attendees evidently did not vote

COMMENTS BY ATTENDEES ON POLL

Concern – extra roads/driveways. Town access, ambulance access, fire access – about all members of the township are included.

Per town board meeting, non-landowners were not to vote. Why can't this township follow the rules they make? Tax rolls were to be checked.

Comment: It was announced at the beginning of the meeting that non-landowners votes would not count but wanted re-action of all residents. It is difficult to check tax rolls when you don't know who is attending

Farmers need a reasonable way to get the price from their land which is their retirement

I support this amendment. I think it helps the one farming and the farmers that are retiring to realize their retirement.

Give them and others the respect that they deserve for having kept our township the beautiful place that it is! I hope the board takes this seriously! Thanks.

We need to allow some building to help out with the cost of operating expenses and also to cut down on taxes.

Do not know if the 7 non-farm house limit necessary. There are only a certain number of allowable sites and when they are used up, there are no more sites left. Primrose does need

additional tax base – there are no additional monies spent by the township on improvements on those homes; we cannot keep up with school tax in Mt. Horeb unless tax base increased – a win-win situation.

Sounds very sensible to make it possible for the farmers to get something for their hard work and pride in keeping this township what it is.

Need to have control on where to locate houses – no long driveways – limit distance off edge of road.

It's a good start on recognizing ALL the needs of residents.

Absolutely ludicrous to even need this discussion. If this town board is serious about preserving farming in this township, it's a no-brainer to give Steve O'Connor the variance he needs. The O'Connor family has farmed in this township for over a hundred years. Surely the entire family has proven their dedication to farming.

It's not perfect but better than what we currently have.

Yes – just common sense.